arXiv:0712.2270v1 [math.FA] 14 Dec 2007

A REALIZATION OF MEASURABLE SETS AS LIMIT POINTS

JUN TANAKA AND PETER F. MCLOUGHLIN

Abstract. Starting with a σ-finite measure on an algebra, we define a pseudometric and show how measurable sets from the Caratheodory Extension Theorem can be thought of as limit points of Cauchy sequences in the algebra.

1. Introduction

Generations of graduate students in the mathematical sciences have wrestled to understand the Caratheodory Extension Theorem. A crucial part of any advanced Real Analysis course, the process extends an algebra to a σ-algebra and a measure on an algebra to a measure on a σ-algebra. This is a powerful tool in measure theory and statistics.

Let μ be a measure on an algebra $\Omega \subset \mathbf{P}(X)$. The Caratheodory Extension consists of the following two main definitions: Definition 1; $\mu^* : \mathbf{P}(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where $\mu^*(E) = \inf\{\sum \mu(A_i) \mid E \subseteq \cup A_i$ and $A_i \in \Omega$ for all i $\geq 1\}$ [1]. Definition 2; E $\in \mathbf{P}(X)$ is Measurable with respect to μ^* if for every set $A \in \mathbf{P}(X)$, we have $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}})$.

In general μ^* is not a measure on $\mathbf{P}(X)$. In fact it turns out that the set M of measurable sets forms a σ-algebra and that (μ^*, M) is a measure space. Where definition 2 came from always seemed a mystery to the authors.

In this paper, we propose what we believe to be a simplification of the proof of the Caratheodory Extension Theorem when μ is σ-finite. Our first step is to define the pseudometric $d(\cdot,\cdot) = \mu^*(\cdot \triangle \cdot)$. We will say a sequence $\{B_n\}$ in Ω is μ-Cauchy iff $\lim d(B_n, B_m) \to 0$. Next we define $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} = \{S \in \mathbf{P}(X) | \exists$ μ-Cauchy sequence $\{B_n\}$ s.t. $\lim \mu^*(B_n \triangle S) = 0\}$.

In particular, $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ merely consists of limit points of μ-Cauchy sequences. The authors believe that $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is both an intuitive and natural definition. Our $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ will replace Definition 2 in the Caratheodory Extension Theorem. For $S \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$, we define $\widetilde{\mu}(S) = \lim \mu(B_n)$ where $\{B_n\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence which converges to S. We will show that ($\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$) is the measure space obtained by the Caratheodory Extension Theorem.

2. Main Results

Let μ be a finite measure on an algebra $\Omega \subset \mathbf{P}(X)$ and μ^* be the outer measure defined by $\mu^*(A) = \inf\{\sum \mu(A_i) \mid E \subseteq \cup A_i$ and $A_i \in \Omega$ for all i $\geq 1\}$ for any $A \in \mathbf{P}(X)$.

Lemma 1. *$d : \mathbf{P}(X) \times \mathbf{P}(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where $d(A, B) = \mu^*(A \triangle B)$ is a pseudometric.*

Date: December, 10, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 28A12, 28B20.

Key words and phrases. Measure Theory, Caratheodory Extension Theorem, Metric.

Remark 1. *d is a metric on $\mathbf{P}(X)_{/\sim}$ where $A \sim B$ iff $\mu^*(A \triangle B)$ =0, [2].*

Lemma 2. *$d(A_1 \cup A_2, A_3 \cup A_4) \leq d(A_1, A_3) + d(A_2, A_4)$ for any $A_i \in \mathbf{P}(X)$.*

Proof. $d(A_1 \cup A_2, A_3 \cup A_4)$
$= \mu^*((A_1 \cup A_2) \cap (A_3 \cup A_4)^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ (A_3 \cup A_4) \cup (A_1 \cup A_2)^{\mathbf{C}})$
$= \mu^*(A_1 \cap A_3^{\mathbf{C}} \cap A_4^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_2 \cap A_3^{\mathbf{C}} \cap A_4^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_3 \cap A_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cap A_2^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_4 \cap A_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cap A_2^{\mathbf{C}})$
$\leq \mu^*(A_1 \cap A_3^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_2 \cap A_4^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_3 \cap A_1^{\mathbf{C}} \ \cup \ A_4 \cap A_2^{\mathbf{C}})$
$\leq \mu^*(A_1 \triangle A_3) + \mu^*(A_2 \triangle A_4) = d(A_1, A_3) + d(A_2, A_4)$.
□

Lemma 3. *$\mu^*|_\Omega = \mu$, from page 292 of [1].*

Definition 1. If $B_n \in \Omega$, then $\{B_n\}$ is called a μ-Cauchy sequence if $\lim \mu(B_n \triangle B_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Convention 1. *Unless otherwise stated, $\{B_n^\alpha\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ will be μ-Cauchy sequences.*

Lemma 4. *$\{B_n\}$ a μ-Cauchy sequence implies $\{\mu(B_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers.*

Proof. $|\mu(B_m) - \mu(B_n)| \leq \mu(B_m \triangle B_m) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\{\mu(B_m)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, the claim follows.
□

Lemma 5. *$\{B_n^\alpha \cup B_n^\gamma\}$ and $\{(B_n^\alpha)^{\boldsymbol{C}}\}$ are μ-Cauchy sequences.*

Proof. by Lemma 2,
$d(B_n^\alpha \cup B_n^\gamma, B_m^\alpha \cup B_m^\gamma) \leq d(B_n^\alpha, B_m^\alpha) + d(B_n^\gamma, B_m^\gamma)$.
Moreover, $d((B_n^\alpha)^{\mathbf{C}}, (B_m^\alpha)^{\mathbf{C}}) = \mu((B_n^\alpha)^{\mathbf{C}} \triangle (B_m^\alpha)^{\mathbf{C}})$
$= \mu(B_n^\alpha \triangle B_m^\alpha) = d(B_n^\alpha, B_m^\alpha)$. Hence the claim follows.
□

Definition 2. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} = \{S \in \mathbf{P}(X) | \exists \ \mu$-Cauchy sequence $\{B_n\}$ s.t. $\lim \mu^*(B_n \triangle S) = 0\}$

Lemma 6. *$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is an algebra.*

Proof. Let $S_1, S_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. There exist μ-Cauchy sequences $\{B_n^1\}$ and $\{B_n^2\}$ which correspond to S_1 and S_2 respectively.

Now $\mu^*(S_1 \cup S_2 \ \triangle \ B_n^1 \cup B_n^2) \leq \mu^*(S_1 \Delta B_n^1) + \mu^*(S_2 \Delta B_n^2)$ by Lemma 2.

Thus , since $\{B_n^1 \cup B_n^2\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence by Lemma 5, by taking the limit on both sides, $S_1 \cup S_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

In addition, this covers the finite union case. Note now that $\{B_n^1 \cup B_n^2\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence which corresponds to $S_1 \cup S_2$.

Similarly, $(S_1)^{\mathbf{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$, thus $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is an algebra.
□

Definition 3. Definition of the measure on $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

Let $S \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Then there exists a μ-Cauchy sequence $\{B_n\}$ which corresponds to S. By lemma 4, $\lim \mu(B_n)$ exists. We define $\widetilde{\mu}(S) = \lim \mu(B_n)$.

Remark 2. *By lemmas 1 and 3, $|\mu^*(S) - \mu(B_n)| {=} |\mu^*(S \triangle \emptyset) - \mu^*(B_n \triangle \emptyset)| \leq \mu^*(B_n \triangle S)$ for S in $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mu}(S) {=} \lim \mu(B_n) = \mu^*(S)$. Hence, $\mu^*|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}} = \widetilde{\mu}$.*

Lemma 7. *If $S_1, S_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ are disjoint, then $\widetilde{\mu}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \widetilde{\mu}(S_1) + \widetilde{\mu}(S_2)$.*

Proof. Let $S_1, S_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ be disjoint, then there exists μ-Cauchy sequences $\{B_n^1\}$ and $\{B_n^2\}$ which correspond to S_1 and S_2 respectively. By Lemma 6, $S_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cup S_2^{\mathbf{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\{(B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}} \cup (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}}\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence which corresponds to $S_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cup S_2^{\mathbf{C}}$. It follows $\lim \mu^*(S_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cup S_2^{\mathbf{C}} \bigtriangleup (B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}} \cup (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}}) = 0$. Note $(S_1^{\mathbf{C}} \cup S_2^{\mathbf{C}}\ ) \bigtriangleup ((B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}} \cup (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}})=$ $B_n^1 \cap B_n^2$ since S_1 and S_2 are disjoint. Now we have $\lim \mu^*(B_n^1 \cap B_n^2) = \lim \mu(B_n^1 \cap B_n^2)$ $= 0 \quad (1)$.

Note $B_n^1 = (B_n^1 \cap B_n^2) \cup B_n^1 \cap (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}}$ implies $\mu(B_n^1) = \mu(B_n^1 \cap B_n^2) + \mu(B_n^1 \cap (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}})$ and $\lim \mu(B_n^1) = \lim \mu(B_n^1 \cap (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}})$ by (1). Similarly, $\lim \mu(B_n^2) = \lim \mu(B_n^2 \cap (B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}})$.

Moreover, $\mu(B_n^1 \cup B_n^2) = \mu(B_n^1 \cap B_n^2 \quad \cup \quad B_n^1 \cap (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}} \quad \cup \quad B_n^2 \cap (B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}})$
$= \mu(B_n^1 \cap B_n^2) + \mu(B_n^1 \cap (B_n^2)^{\mathbf{C}}) + \mu(B_n^2 \cap (B_n^1)^{\mathbf{C}})$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mu}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \lim \mu(B_n^1 \cup B_n^2) = \lim \mu(B_n^1) + \lim \mu(B_n^2) = \widetilde{\mu}(S_1) + \widetilde{\mu}(S_2)$.

Therefore, $\widetilde{\mu}$ is finitely additive.

□

Lemma 8. *$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is a σ-algebra.*

Proof. Let $S_i \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ be mutually disjoint and $\{B_n^i\}$ correspond to each S_i, for all i ≥ 1. By lemma 7 and remark 2, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\mu}(S_i) = \widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^n S_i)=$ $\mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^n S_i)$. We will show that $\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. First we will show that

$$\mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu^*(S_i) < \infty.$$

For any n, $\mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i) \leq \mu^*(\cup_{i=n+1}^\infty S_i) + \mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^n S_i) \leq \mu^*(X) + \mu^*(X)$ by the subadditivity of μ^*. Thus, $\mu^*(\cup_{i=n+1}^\infty S_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(S_i) \leq 2\mu^*(X) = 2\mu(X)$ for any n. By the finiteness of μ and the subadditivity of μ^*, we must have $\mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i)$ $\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu^*(S_i) \leq 2\mu(X) < \infty \quad (2)$.

Now we are going to construct a μ-Cauchy sequence which converges to $\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i$ with respect to the pseudometric $d(\cdot,\cdot) = \mu^*(\cdot \bigtriangleup \cdot)$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
B_1^1 & B_2^1 & \cdots & B_k^1 & \cdots & \longrightarrow S_1 \\
B_1^1 \cup B_1^2 & B_2^1 \cup B_2^2 & \cdots & B_k^1 \cup B_k^2 & \cdots & \longrightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
B_1^1 \cup B_1^2 \cdots \cup B_1^m & B_2^1 \cup B_2^2 \cdots \cup B_2^m & \cdots & B_k^1 \cup B_k^2 \cdots \cup B_k^m & \cdots & \longrightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_m \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array}$$

Note that for each $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_m$, we can choose a K so that $B_K^1 \cup B_K^2 \cdots B_K^m$ is arbitrary close to it in terms of the pseudometric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Now, (2) implies for any L there exists an N_L such that $\mu^*(\cup_{i=N_L+1}^\infty S_i) \leq \sum_{i=N_L+1}^\infty \mu^*(S_i) < \frac{1}{2L}$. Moreover, for each N_L there exists an K_L such that
$\mu^*(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_{N_L} \bigtriangleup B_{K_L}^1 \cup B_{K_L}^2 \cdots B_{K_L}^{N_L}) < \frac{1}{2L}$. Thus, by Lemma 2

$$\mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^\infty S_i \bigtriangleup \cup_{i=1}^{N_L} B_{K_L}^i) \leq \mu^*(\cup_{i=N_L+1}^\infty S_i) + \mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^{N_L} S_i \bigtriangleup \cup_{i=1}^{N_L} B_{K_L}^i) < \frac{1}{L}.$$

Now, call $Y_L = \cup_{i=1}^{N_L} B_{K_L}^i$ for each L. By design, $\{Y_L\}$ converges to $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$. Also by the triangle inequality, $\mu(Y_n \triangle Y_m)$=$\mu^*(Y_n \triangle Y_m) \leq \mu^*(Y_n \triangle \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i) + \mu^*(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \triangle Y_m)$ implies $\{Y_L\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence.

Thus, $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is a σ-algebra.

$\square$

Lemma 9. *$\widetilde{\mu}$ is a countably additive measure on $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.*

Proof. By (2) for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an M such that $\widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} S_i) \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mu}(S_i) < \epsilon$ for $n \geq M$.

Moreover, $\widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i) = \widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} S_i) + \widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^{n} S_i) = \widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} S_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\mu}(S_i)$ for all n by Lemmas 6, 7, and 8.

Thus, $\widetilde{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mu}(S_i)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mu}$ is a countably additive measure on $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

$\square$

Theorem 1. *($\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$) is a measure space.*

Proof. The claim follows by Lemmas 8 and 9. $\square$

Theorem 2. *E is a measurable set iff E is in $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.*

Proof. Suppose now E is measurable. $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ implies for any n there exists an $C_n = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^n$ where $A_i^n \cap A_j^n = \emptyset$ for i $\neq$ j , $A_i^n \in \Omega$ for all i ≥ 1 such that $E \subseteq C_n$ and $\mu^*(E) > \mu^*(C_n)$ - $\frac{1}{2n}$. Now E is measurable implies $\mu^*(C_n) = \mu^*(C_n \cap E)$ + $\mu^*(C_n \cap E^{\mathbf{C}}) = \mu^*(C_n \triangle E) + \mu^*(E)$ which implies $\mu^*(C_n \triangle E) < \frac{1}{2n}$. Furthermore, $\mu^*(C_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i^n) < \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{2n}$ implies there exists an N_n such that $\sum_{i=N_n+1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i^n) < \frac{1}{2n}$ (3). Let $C_n^{N_n} = \cup_{i=1}^{N_n} A_i^n$. By the triangle inequality and (3), we have $\mu^*(C_n^{N_n} \triangle E) \leq \mu^*(C_n^{N_n} \triangle C_n) + \mu^*(C_n \triangle E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By design $C_n^{N_n}$ is in Ω. Moreover, by the triangle inequality for $n > m$, $\mu^*(C_n^{N_n} \triangle C_m^{N_m}) \leq \mu^*(C_n^{N_n} \triangle E)$ + $\mu^*(C_m^{N_m} \triangle E) \leq \frac{2}{m}$ implies $\{C_n^{N_n}\}$ is a μ-Cauchy sequence. Hence $E \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

Suppose $E \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Then there exists a μ-Cauchy sequence $\{B_n\}$ such that $\lim \mu^*(B_n \triangle E) = 0$. It follows we must have $\lim \mu^*(B_n \cap E^{\mathbf{C}}) = 0$ and $\lim \mu^*((B_n)^{\mathbf{C}} \cap E) = 0$ (4). Note for $A \in \mathbf{P}(X)$ we must have

$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}})$ by the subadditivity of μ^*. Moreover, B_n is measurable for each n implies $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap B_n) + \mu^*(A \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}})$, $\mu^*(A \cap E)$ = $\mu^*(A \cap E \cap B_n) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}})$ and $\mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}}) = \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}} \cap B_n) + \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}} \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}})$ (5).

Now by taking limits on both sides of (5) and using (4),

we get $\mu^*(A) = \lim \mu^*(A \cap B_n) + \lim \mu^*(A \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}})$, $\mu^*(A \cap E) = \lim \mu^*(A \cap E \cap B_n)$, and $\mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}}) = \lim \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}} \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}})$. Moreover, $A \cap E \cap B_n \subseteq A \cap B_n$ and $A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}} \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}} \subseteq A \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}}$ implies

$\lim \mu^*(A \cap B_n) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E)$ and $\lim \mu^*(A \cap (B_n)^{\mathbf{C}}) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}})$. Thus, we must have $\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}})$. Hence, $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^{\mathbf{C}})$ and E is measurable.

$\square$

3. CONCLUSION

Theorems 1 and 2 show that the measure space ($\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$) agrees with the Caratheodory Extension when μ is a finite measure. Moreover, theorem 2 show that measurable sets are exactly limit points of μ-Cauchy sequences. The σ-finite case follows from the finite case.

.

4. Acknowledgement

The first author would like to thank his grandfather Waichi Tanaka for his inspiration and financial assistance and Andrew Aames for encouraging him to progress through the graduate program. With the kind support of both, the first author has progressed further than he ever thought possible. In addition, both authors would like to thank professor Michel L. Lapidus, and professor James D. Stafney for their professional advice on this paper, and to Anne Hansen for her editing assistance.

References

1. H.L.Royden, Real Analysis Third Edition, Prentice-Hall Inc. 1988.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Liner Operators Part 1 General Theory, Willy Interscience Publication, 1988.

University of California, Riverside, USA
E-mail address: `juntanaka@math.ucr.edu, yonigeninnin@gmail.com, junextension@hotmail.com`

University of California, Riverside, USA
E-mail address: `pmcloughlin@aol.com`